## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

R & D FILM 1, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action 2:12-cv-1115 Judge Michael H. Watson Magistrate Judge Elizabeth P. Deavers

DOES 134-157,

Defendants.

## REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Plaintiff filed its Complaint in this action on December 4, 2012. On July 12, 2013, the Court ordered Plaintiff to amend its Complaint within thirty days to substitute in the real names for its Doe Defendants and to perfect service on those named partes as required under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m) or to alternatively show cause why the Court should not dismiss this action pursuant to Rule 4(m). (ECF No. 6.) To date, Plaintiff has not moved to amend its Complaint to substitute in the real names for its Doe Defendants. Nor has Plaintiff responded to the Court's Show Cause Order. It is therefore **RECOMMENDED** that the Court dismiss this action without prejudice pursuant to Rule 4(m) for failure to timely effect service of process.

## **PROCEDURE ON OBJECTIONS**

If any party seeks review by the District Judge of this Report and Recommendation, that party may, within fourteen (14) days, file and serve on all parties objections to the Report and Recommendation, specifically designating this Report and Recommendation, and the part in question, as well as the basis for objection. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b).

Case: 2:12-cv-01115-MHW-EPD Doc #: 7 Filed: 08/21/13 Page: 2 of 2 PAGEID #: 65

Response to objections must be filed within fourteen (14) days after being served with a copy.

Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b).

The parties are specifically advised that the failure to object to the Report and

Recommendation will result in a waiver of the right to de novo review by the District Judge and

waiver of the right to appeal the judgment of the District Court. See, e.g., Pfahler v. Nat'l Latex

Prod. Co., 517 F.3d 816, 829 (6th Cir. 2007) (holding that "failure to object to the magistrate

judge's recommendations constituted a waiver of [the defendant's] ability to appeal the district

court's ruling"); United States v. Sullivan, 431 F.3d 976, 984 (6th Cir. 2005) (holding that

defendant waived appeal of district court's denial of pretrial motion by failing to timely object to

magistrate judge's report and recommendation). Even when timely objections are filed,

appellate review of issues not raised in those objections is waived. Robert v. Tesson, 507 F.3d

981, 994 (6th Cir. 2007) ("[A] general objection to a magistrate judge's report, which fails to

specify the issues of contention, does not suffice to preserve an issue for appeal . . . . ") (citation

omitted)).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: August 21,2013

/s/ Elizabeth A. Preston Deavers

Elizabeth A. Preston Deavers

United States Magistrate Judge

2